

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/671,508	BOULLE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D.	<b>Art Unit</b> 1626	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** 71

(1) Laura L. Stockton, Ph.D. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mary Katherine Baumeister {Reg. No. 26,254}. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 20 June 2007

**Time:** 2:45pm

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

4,11, 12, 14, 18, 60, 61, 72, 76, 77, 79, 80-83, 85, 86, 88, 89, 91, 92, 94, 95, 97, 98 and 100-102

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner called Applicant's representative for permission to correct 35 USC 112 problems.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)